

The Obama Administration's Foreign Policy on the Developments in Iraq and Syria

vahid Karimi¹

Received Date: May 19, 2016

Accepted Date: December 14, 2016

Abstract

Developments in Islamic countries and communities have always been a special concern of Governments in the United States. Nowadays, political Islam is a rival and enemy of the west and America rather than Communism. Looking for the 11 September event and increase the level of hostility toward Muslims in America and America's subsequent attack to Afghanistan and Iraq, the Bush approach to the Muslim world was facing intense criticism with. With the rise of Barack Obama was expected to promise him that with regard to determining the change in foreign policy, especially in relation to Islamic countries, have witnessed serious changes in this field. The present thesis is also in this regard in the wake of the answer to this question is whether Obama's policy towards the Islamic world, Muslim expectations met or not. I believe that Obama's policy towards the Islamic world due to the continuation of the domination of the Zionist lobby and also inconsistent behaviors to improve the face of America is not so effective against the Muslims. Hence, more than that in the course of Obama's presidency saw a change in the approach towards the Muslim world, continuity and continuity with the past policies are met.

Key words: U.S. foreign policy, Iraq, Syria, Regional stability

1- M.A. Graduated in political science from Islamic Azad University, Chalous Branch
Email: karimi.v1987@gmail.com

Introduction

The region of the Middle East regardless of the numerous and diverse definitions for that platform will always be considered; the change and developments. The regional strategic power, through its important external powers to their full attention. As many countries, including the United States, has always been considered the benefits for themselves, and hence the developments and changes that happen in this area azjahan, in most cases the reaction to intervention in developing countries. Somehow the country is an important relationship with the United States historically in the Middle East, and this relationship can be bi-directional. Reviews show the start of the Arab revolution in the country of Tunisia in North Africa in 2010, and the expansion of the spread of this revolution to the Middle East as an important center of international diplomatic and political circles, the globe converter. The culmination of several interconnected causes and that countries in the region in the sense of relative deprivation of the people dimension of the political, economic, social, and cultural separation of the people from its dependence on foreign powers of Government, tyranny has become overwhelming, and civil and political rights of individuals, Governments and corruption and inefficiency of the Islamic awakening of the most important causes of it. One of the important issues and tips regarding developments and the resurrection of the Nations of the region have been striking the unpredictable developments in the current period has been particularly kind to the U.S. intelligence failure.

The more important point is that not only the attitudes of the people at the level of underlying economic and other areas, including Islamic, social and political exposure. The United States since the start of the revolution in these countries have been eager to try to ride on the wave of transformation in these countries and the path of the revolution, strategy and plans of rabrasas and its national interests to the management of the situation in the Middle East and North Africa to run. As with the vertebral displacement to maintain allies, maintain body affiliated to the political systems have been removed (Egypt) reduce the speed of developments and the revolutionary spirit of the people coming down and ultimately harnessing the wave of anger and protest, with the crisis in the region against military intervention to suppress the resistance front and parsing. In this regard, the United States will review, with soft power

foreign policy approach, multiple obstacles and conflicting in the countries in the region will be a popular uprising movement.

The region of the Middle East regardless of the numerous and diverse definitions for that platform will always be considered; the change and developments. The regional strategic power, through major external powers has shifted their joins. As many countries, including the United States, has always been considered for their benefits, and hence the developments and changes that happen in this region of the world, in most cases the reaction to intervention in developing countries. Somehow the country is an important relationship with the United States historically in the Middle East, and this relationship can be bi-directional.

The nature of the political and social developments in Islamic countries and Muslim communities, especially in the Middle East has always been one of the United States OCU. If at the time of the cold war, "Islam" as a tool to deal with the Communists in Afghanistan about the exploitation of America, by the end of the cold war and the defeat of rivals, some circles in America, political Islam as a rival and enemy of the West and America and replace communism expressed and especially after the September 11 events, the level of violence increased and the two countries's Islamic America to occupy themselves.

George Bush administration approach to the Islamic World is to the abundance of criticism after two bloody wars in the two countries, Afghanistan and Iraq. Barack Obama campaigns in America's presidential selection was one of the main subjects of his approach toward international affairs, especially in the Islamic world in the past policies of America appeals under the title of "change". Obama's speech at Cairo University in April 2009 that the new administration in alam positions actually America towards the Islamic world also went to speculation in some circles that might make the world witnessed during the Presidency of Barack Obama is a serious and fundamental changes in the U.S. approach toward the Islamic world. Now, after the seizure of power by Barack Obama in the course of the second of these questions is fitted that to what extent the expectations created with the performance of foreign policy during the course of the match? Do Obama's policy towards the Islamic world as it in the discourse of the first year of his presidency, it asserted, involves the changes has been that the approach of the Muslims towards America improve?

In the course of the cold war, Iraq with the thought of one of the few socialist-bathi, allies of the Soviet Union in the sensitive area of the Middle East. During the 1980s, relations between Baghdad and Washington recovered-very good location.

At the same time, Iraq's invasion of Kuwait and the start of the war with the United States to the country in 1991, encyclopedias it in full with hostility and the United States, and finally, after the attacks of September 11, the United States decided to overthrow Saddam's Government.

The United States under the pretext of the war against terrorism and citing some cut of the Security Council, after a decade of sanctions, military attack on Saddam's regime against the vast procurement visibility and finally, in 2003 the conquest of this country to overthrow Saddam's Government and his own. From that date to the present time, the United States Government and the war in Iraq and against the criminal forces. At the end of the year 2008, the United States signed the agreement with the Government of Iraq with the security-political basis for the continuation of the presence of its forces, in the framework of a bilateral agreement to the Security Council of resolution conversion.

The more we try different angles that the Obama for America's policy in the era of the Islamic countries and the Islamic world in General to be investigated and it is clear how far Obama realize the slogan of "change" for the Islamic world has been successful. To this end it is essential with an emphasis on the basics of u.s. foreign policy to assess the realization of change "in the Obama administration's approach and policy review with a few as compared to the previous Government, that is George Walker Bush.

We in this article the Obama foreign policy towards the Islamic world during the two periods are discussed that due to the continuation of the domination of the Zionist lobby, as well as numerous behavioral contradictions, little help to improve the face of Muslim public opinion in America.

The main question, it is a policy document ahead of the United States in the course of Obama's Presidency in relation to developments in Syria and Iraq policy than what Republicans a major change?

The answer to this question that the Simulator about the checking, it is a statement of the democratic Obama administration the Republican extremists policy towards Syria uaragh from a focus on analyzing the

Middle East towards the effort for stability and balance .The research in this study is based on an analytical description.

To answer this question, trying to be the theoretical roots of the Islamic world against the policy of America that is very much in the realm of policy and institutional demographic, check and then to review the George Walker Bush's policy towards the Islamic world to be paid, a look at Bush's policy on Iraq and Syria and their differences with Obama's policies can be a way for the study of military relations perspective – America and Iraq and Syria's security in the future.

The war against terrorism and in particular the two wars Iraq and Afghanistan as well as Syria, first the Bush administration and American heritage in the world, second liner heritage outside, any 2 of these challenges continue to the national security and interests are a problem the United States maker and each State should be in the White House, looking for a solution to deal with them.

Our goal in this article is the study of us foreign policy in the Obama era for Iraq and Syria to the transformation of the phenomena and reasons following the country's policies.

1.Theoretical Framework

1-1.realism

Ever since 1945, the nature and the properties of the riddle of the spread of nuclear weapons have been drastically transformed. At the end of World War II, the United States is the only country has nuclear weapons manufacturing capabilities. But from that date onwards, making this type of weapons a significant expansion somehow. This topic is especially with regard to the developments of the incident after the cold war and September 11, 2001, the main theme of the global agenda. Although the Treaty on the prohibition of nuclear weapons proliferation called N. P. T only five countries of China, France, Russia (former Soviet Union), the United Kingdom and the United States of America is the official member of the Club of nuclear weapons have been identified, but a few other countries as well as the ability to build a nuclear bomb and throw them with advanced equipment (if necessary). This is the situation in May 1998 when India and Pakistan conducted a series of nuclear tests and ballistic missile launcher was later advanced, your ability to view, was confirmed (Jafari, 2010, 215).

1-2.The theory of balance of power and the stability

The theory of balance of power or powers, one of the most famous equation of the theory in international relations has been proposed. This theory was put forward by the valtz, explaining the status of the international systems and equations used within and outside of them. In terms of system, valtz is a set of units that dealt with the interactions with each other and their behavior can be learned when the behavioral and credentials view. In other words, the system and the changes within the system, both elements are visible in international space to his view, the international system of units (or the same national Governments) all have similar behaviors within the system. Regardless of whether these units where they are located, or what their ideology or cultural domination, all have similar behaviors. The difference between these units and their relative capabilities only on and it features cannot be changed, because the systems cannot be changed. In other words, change is evident when the flexibility of the international order that the great powers rise or decline and accordingly, the balance of power changed (URwww.pajoohe.com).

On this basis, to define the valtz two types of international systems of step: the bipolar system, in which there are two main powers, like the United States and the Soviet Union from 1945 to 1989; and a few polar order, where there are few very fragile society and power on it. In his view, a very stable bipolar, because in this discipline, both have power to maintain the stability of the international system of mutual respect to each other, and recognize each other. Something on the order of a few polar or does not exist, or is very light (naghib Zadeh, Ahmad., 2006: 12).

1-3.Neorealism

Neorealism or structural realism (or Neorealism Ralism Srructural) including the theories of international relations is that the overall lines by Kenneth valtst in the book the theory of international politics (1979) drawing. Systemic approach to valtz (systemic Approach) and basically, the structure of the international system of restrictions on the behaviour of States deemed to have fitted. Valtz in this book his structural theory actually gray that is in fact a structural explanation or systemic of international politics. The classic form of Italian neorealism as it continues the Administration's main international stage actress. This theory, while underscoring the absence of central authority, the level of

the international system analysis and believes that the structure of the international system of the type and specifies the rules of the game. What is the importance within Governments and cannot be found on the ideology of the ruling regime and stressed. Accordingly, the foreign policy of all Governments under regular title and like billiard balls of the same geometry and physics rules comply with the political. (Gavam, 2001: 361).

1-4. The neo-realist theorist

•Waltz: some elements of classical realism and the Tabibian as a starting point on your thinking. and unlike the human nature of it, holding the attention of political ethics is abstinence and are searching for scientific jurist of international policy system. in novaqa, the structure of the power distribution system and, especially, the core of the case study in this view is less important because they are actors. the structure, forcing them to provide methods to aqdanatshan. In terms of Government despite the waltz cultural, ideological differences, the basic rules and those of the main functional in all aspects, like each other. The only major difference between the parties arising from their ability to greatly, and the structure of the system changes when the distribution system is the ability to change units and in other words, the change in the international system when it happen to be that great power, the rise or decline of the index, any kind of change. "the great war. Waltz believes that the bipolar system stability system than the system for the implementation of peace and security. "he says formally state the Governments against each other, but in terms of the concept of the inherent or objective they are disappointed. However, governments don't regard to this fundamental inequality of power among themselves. Waltz believes since the great powers have a greater profit from the international system, so not only the management of the international system is necessary, but also valuable to them as well. (Robert Jackson: 2006: 112-117)

In terms of the structure of the international system waltz defined is exactly where you should be subject to three:

- A) the principle of the order up,
- b)-features available in the system unit,
- c) – the quality of the unit's ability to distribute.

John Mear Sheimer has a perspective to explain Walter in relationships with past and future and announces that the new realism is

the view that implications can not only explain the cold war, but it can also explain other historical terms. He believes that the stability of the bipolar system against system available we polarized. And that the nature and distribution of military power is the main source of war and peace. And during the long peace between the years 1945 to 1991. (Robert Jackson: 2006: 112-118).

1-5.Theoretical investigation of the roots of America's policy towards the Islamic world

Unlike the nineteenth century international policy process and prior to that, the new world and the spread of radicalism that only through military means, and if you need a design strategy was merely war, nowadays, a country like the United States of America and the West to expand global influence and presence in areas important to the design of complex cultural and political strategies to require that formulate them only through very strong academic and intellectual circles of the strategy behind processor (Jafari: 1389: 124-126). And reasonably so, explaining what today for a specific policy or military deal to satisfy the interests and objectives of the policy of the West and America we see need intellectual backgrounds in search of academic and military arena.

Portray u.s. foreign policy shows that this country has always been a liberal ideology against the rest of the action as motivated by ideology and interest as the most important principle of the survival of the ideology in the wake of rival ideologies and compete with other field to off.

This is the most important foreign policy motives that guide America to intervene in global developments and has been always our intellectual processing macro-and then to adopt policies and administrative instructions on the end. In the case of the world of Islam is belief that the macro policies of the United States from the attitude of the macro start and doctrine, guidelines and the official translation of the speech and the conversion and finally in many geographic areas to economic sanctions and military action, political pressures have been termination (Jafari Veldani ,Asgar., 2009: 89).

In this way, although influenced by the events of September 11, 2001, is the theory of certain editors and the acceleration of strategics providing instant estimates of investment policy and continue the efforts to reasonably the same intellectual and scientific debates and strategic efforts has been that after the cold war, and more than anything with the

works of Francis Fukuyama, Samuel Huntington and Zbigniew Brzezinski, and different surface, Bernard Lewis began. The importance of these efforts at the level of the top of science and of thought, is that it can be a source of policy and investment policy in the next steps. To put it more accurately, the abstract ideas in institutions of the importance of strategic entries into practice and allow the politicians to also withdraw your interest and requirements of "Islam" and have no understanding or even without paying attention to the different perceptions of Muslims, Islam, Islamic world, "integrated and homogeneous and therefore the estuary and hostility to the West rival and America created (Bazrafkan, Majid, 2012: 23-25).

2. The position of the U.S. foreign policy interests and values

Another discussion about the foreign policy of the United States of America's dual interests of value. In this Division, American politicians or those that are the objective benefits such as economic interests, strategic priorities and ... Or those who sought to promote the values and principles that are to believe it and to spread it by virtue of the acquisition and preservation of more long-term u.s. interests.

Intellectual works of scholars and policy makers to priority politicians different than the interests of the different methods to value dual-to work. On one hand, politicians interested in the objective interests of the position of the researchers and policymakers for the interpretation of the new global environment after the cold war and the ensuing aftermath of September 11th. These politicians depending on the circumstances, a different use of the basic texts. At the end of the cold war, they have to maintain their hegemony to the help texts, like the competing imported Islam and foreign policy after the events of September 11th as it brsaktend your enemy and the enemy in the Middle East did not create this hand to the military occupation. Examples of these politicians can be found in the neo at the end of the cold war that vision improves the shape of the power and the subsequent September 11 implementation of the truly to maintain their hegemony and expansion of America. Jewish pressure groups in America as well as from groups that are Israel's interests to emerge from the Muslim countries against Muslims and texts that have surrounded Israel, they use (Macxani, 1998: 33).

On the other hand American politicians have concerns the value of can be divided into two types. First, those who advocate political values

like democracy and liberalism and promoting it in the world of miniature programs. The second type of Christian values that are sponsors of the superiority of the Christian texts have been mapped previously said to achieve big dreams create favorable conditions such as the advent of their Messiah. The Christian right as a group vote with concerns values, an example of this are politicians. The coming together of the Jews, the Christian right groups, the establishment of Israel and the reconstruction of the ancient Jewish temples prerequisite the second resurrection Christian religious reasons they know they have support for Israel, but the new Conservatives support of Israel from the political and strategic background and common interests. In the meantime Christian politicians have concerns, like the Christian right group value, of interest have more ago (mgdaf, Hari, 2008: 89-90).

Christian right pressure groups that are strongly influenced by the Christian beliefs are extreme and the foreign policy of the United States in connection with the Middle East and the Islamic world wide influence. They are the political coalition consisting of the conservative, Pro-Likud supporters groups and the Christian Zionists that are an important part of the process of United States foreign policy influence. The Christian right, will they tolerate pluralism, believes the truth of your unit and the carrier assumes the truth of the unit. In terms of the Christian right, according to Al-Islam group and the "war against terrorism" is the equivalent of a war with Islam (Bilis, John and Steve Smith, 2013: 63-65).

3. The US purposes in the Middle East

Another discussion about the foreign policy of the United States of America's dual interests of value. In this Division, American politicians or those that are the objective benefits economic benefits, the strategic priorities. Or those who sought to promote the values and principles that are to believe it and to spread it by virtue of acquiring and maintaining long-term u.s. interests know (Jafari, Ali Akbar, 2010: 10).

Intellectual works of scholars and policy makers to priority politicians different than the interests of the different methods to value dual-to work. On the one hand the objective interests of politicians interested in the opinions of these scholars and policymakers for the interpretation of the new global environment after the cold war and the ensuing aftermath of 11 September. These politicians depending on the circumstances, use of these texts for the base. At the end of the cold war,

they have to maintain their hegemony to the help texts, like the competing imported Islam and foreign policy after the events of 11 September make it as their enemy, and the enemy were able to create this with brsakhtend in the Middle East to military employment. Examples of this new conservative politicians can be seen at the end of the cold war improves the shape of the power and the subsequent September 11 implementation of the truly to maintain their hegemony and expansion of America. Jewish pressure groups in America are also groups in order to maintain the interests of Israel against Muslims and Muslim countries of the texts that have surrounded Israel, they use. (Khosravi, AliReza, Mehdi, 2011:5)

On the other hand American politicians have concerns the value of can be divided into two types. First, those who advocate political values like democracy and liberalism are and promote it world miniature programs. The second type of Christian values that are sponsors of the superiority of the Christian texts have been mapped previously said to achieve big dreams create favorable conditions such as the advent of their Messiah. The Christian right as a group vote with concerns values, is an example of these politicians. The Christian right group coming together of the Jews, the establishment of Israel and the reconstruction of the ancient Jewish temples prerequisite the second resurrection Christian religious reasons they know they have support for Israel, but the neoconservatives support of Israel from the political and strategic background and common interests. In the meantime Christian value and politicians have concerns like the Christian right group, said ahead of the works have more interest (Dehghani firuz Abadi, 2012: 22).

The Christian right groups that are strongly influenced by pressure groups of the extreme Christian beliefs and are in the foreign policy of the United States in connection with the Middle East and the Islamic world wide influence. They are the political coalition consisting of the conservative, Pro-Likud supporters groups and the Christian Zionist that are an important part of the process of foreign policy the United States influence. The Christian right, will they tolerate pluralism, believes the truth of your unit and the carrier assumes the truth of the unit. In terms of the Christian right group, according to Al-Islam and the "war against terrorism" is the equivalent of war against Islam.

4.The influence of the Jewish lobby in the U.S. decision-making bodies

United States of America as a world power and as a regional power, has a special and unique relationship. On the quality and type of relations between the two Governments, pro-Israel groups or Jewish lobby is also involved. Them with different methods and tools that are available, at the decision of leaders in American foreign policy and influence on their decision in relation to the issues of the Middle East and Israel role-playing. The impact of these groups on American foreign policy decisions as a "fact" is undeniable, but not all "reality". (Barber, James and Smith and Michel, 1995: 143)

United States of America as a world power and as a regional power, has a special and unique relationship. On the quality and type of relations between the two Governments, pro-Israel groups or Jewish lobby is also involved. Them with different methods and tools that are available, at the decision of leaders in American foreign policy and influence on their decision in relation to the issues of the Middle East and Israel role-playing. The impact of these groups on American foreign policy decisions as a "fact" is undeniable, but not all "reality". (Barber, 1995: 143).

In the analysis of the cause of the United States and Israel's unique relationships as well as the role of the Zionist lobby in American society, there are several points that most of these comments will be addressed:

Group of people, such as "John Vershamiro "Stephen Walt, the central cause of the unique relationship the United States and Israel, the influence of the Jewish lobby on us foreign policy decisions as. More importantly, "Donald Neff," American foreign policy is believed to have been strongly influenced by the interests of Israel.

The second group of people, such as, "Stephen sularz", the only operating for the interests of the parties there is continuing close ties to the United States and Israel and apply pressure by the power of the groups (the Zionist lobby) role in the Bob. (Ahvi, 2011: 83).

4-1.The joint u.s.-Israeli strategic interests

Based on the theory of neorealism in theoretical discussion of this article is discussed, it can be said that in the absence of a central authority and reference space for anarchy in the international system, the Governments of the U.S. and Israel in addition to increasing its internal capabilities to boost mutual cooperation and unity and weaken alliances

and convergence of Middle Eastern Governments. The United States and Israel have strategic relations and major allies each other in the Middle East. American politicians argue that Israel is "a vital role" for the United States' strategic interests "in the Middle East. Since the establishment of the State of Israel, so far the two countries gradually the scope and depth of relations have developed and the American Alliance with Israel based on the theory of Stephen Walt and American strategic interests in line with Kenneth r. Waltz as an international power and Israel as a regional power is based on a fitted (Ahvi, 2011: 39).

- **Avoid the influence of communism**

Of Israel from the beginning of the 1960s, the crisis in the Middle East in the context of the cold war, the United States and Israel against the Arabs "and salt" Soviet definition, because the Soviet Government could establish relations with many Arab countries in the Middle East and create a ground, air and naval bases in the region of the Middle East and the Mediterranean sea makes it possible to provide that the structure of their region in the hand. Based on the theory of Walt, the Soviet actions were mojan up to two American government, Israel has no choice but to cooperate more in front of the influence of the rival bloc. Israel, in addition to participating in the establishment of a special command center in the Middle East "plan" in 1951 as well as membership in the Organization to replace it under the title of "Middle East Defense Organization, MOU and bilateral agreements with the United States also signed a strategic. Also on November 30, 1981 "Memorandum of cooperation" between the U.S. and Israel's strategy was concluded. (Seifzadeh, 2010: 43-45).

- **Inhibition of the Islamic fundamentalism**

As Israel had built that convinced the West to deal with the development of the unique role of communism have fitted the play, this time to deal with the danger of Islamists and Islamic fundamentalist magnify risk in the region of the Middle East as the West finds the interests of their main role is to distinguish and the Islamic Republic of Iran, as the Association of Islamic fundamentalism in the region and the world is introduced.

- **Middle East peace process**

The Middle East Peace Process that was started from the 1970s and 1990s and remained stalled once again seriously looking. The road map plan of being "ineffective" George w. Bush and Barack Obama's policy

change policy between Israel and its hostile neighbors all markers for this issue it is important that the need for the United States to dominate most aspects of saving the Middle East peace but Israel needs peace more the mental aspect. According to international law the use of an intermediary Government as one of the methods of peaceful settlement of disputes will be optimal when the result that the interests of all involved parties of interest.

•Greater Middle East plan

On the strategy of the United States and Israel, one can argue about taking advantage of two methods of software and hardware, for economic and political benefits, special importance. The correct use of the power of soft power is sometimes more effective than hardware. In term of soft power theorized by supplying the power of the hardware, as a tool to achieve the desired objectives and interests in the field of foreign policy and national security strategy about the operation. Macro project "global century challenge" provided by the National Institute for strategic studies, "the National Defense University of the United States" and sponsored by the U.S. Department of the Navy, "effective", the advanced countries to this point has been a reminder that no matter what their strategy will be incomplete, "the Middle East.". This requires presence of Selby or the main elements of the strategy they will be incomplete. This requires presence of Selby or the main elements of the national strategy, all actors who want to play a role in the world. According to the analysis of global security in the Middle East, from the periphery, in the context of global security, which used equations all the superior powers and somehow important in the relationship and your security with its definition. Roger Garaudy "the Jewish rabbis quotes and Oldman alzar» says: «we must have order in the Middle East and the world. The responsibility for this work again, not only against ourselves but also against the world against the sinner. The terms of the plan by the Organization of the Garaudy Zionism Israel's strategic map under the title of "the world", is calling for the destruction of all the famous neighbors of the country the Nile to the Euphrates in the. (Ali jannati, 2004, p. 8)

- Military and security interests of the U.S. and Israel

The fight against terrorism

September 11, 2001 incident, in fact, departure point of institutionalizing the concept of terrorism at the head of the international strategy priorities. Ariel Sharon, Israel's Prime Minister after the

September 11 incident, and after the announcement of public mourning in Israel, said: "the Israelis as an American citizen in the United States have" standing aside. Powell, he said: "everyone has his own bin Laden and Arafat is Israel's bin Laden." In terms of Sharon: "there is no difference between Israeli citizens and the assassination of American citizens there. So our first coalition against terrorists should Iran, Syria and terrorist organizations Arafat. In addition to the positive implications of u.s. counter-terrorism strategy are abundant for Israel, the United States Congress due to the common interests of the two Governments in the fight against terrorism, on the basis of the Bush request for 200 million dollars in the form of economic support funds paid to Israeli terrorism. This is more because of Israel's readiness to help deal with a possible Iraqi missile attack on Israel and the Hezbollah group fighting the intifada and was given the assignment (Hamidi, 2012: 3).

• **The fight against weapons of mass destruction**

The subject of the weapons of mass destruction at the time of the cold war as an instrument of emergency two blocks east and West was raised, but after the cold war, especially after the 11 September 2001 event the importance of weapons of mass destruction in the Middle East at the United States and Israel security discussions as the two Governments finds common interests. According to strategic allies (USA-Israel), subject to the so-called new issues of terrorism and weapons of mass destruction, but along with these two issues sparked fears the two Governments. American officials are arguing when the non-proliferation nuclear weapons regimes has not been able to be effective, such as Iraq, Iran and North Korea as an "axis of evil" countries seeking weapons of mass destruction access to preventive war, are to prevent a possible attack by the United States and its allies against them in the Middle East justified (Akbari, Mohammad Hadi ayazi, and Syied Mohammad Hadi, 2007: 7).

• Nuclear cooperation and arms sales

Based on the "balance of threat theory", "Stephen Walt, the Israeli military and the U.S. strategy against the Governments of the region of the Middle East is a combination of deterrence and aggressive (barrage). The Alliance and the United States and Israel cooperate in the field of conventional arms and unorthodox situations in the region to convince the Arabs that the pursuit of your goals no matter what and even enough

to justify the acquisition does not have a nuclear weapon (Ehsan Movahedian ,2013: 6).

- **The positions and the interests of foreign countries, especially the United States and Israel about Syria**

- In the first image of the future of the United States after the Government properly the Syrian Bashar. But when tamelatshan with the Muslim Brotherhood of Egypt gave rise to the conclusion that the Muslim Brotherhood of Syria can be reached to an agreement, because the severity of the Israeli Government, Israel's extreme anti-us insurgent in the vicinity.

- **American interests in Syria pressure include:**

1. Today of Iran's strategic depth and expanded to the borders of the Zionist regime has gone forward, so the borders of Lebanon and Syria, the Iranian border and the front lines of the war with the Zionist regime, would be considered; the enemy knows as it is not possible to defeat Iran do not break lines, so all the pressure to defeat the first line.

2. The countries of Syria and Iran and Lebanon and Hezbollah. Islamic Jihad and Hamas, the anti-Israeli Alliance have formed the Syrian role and communication to front this heart plays they know breaking Syria means destruction and decommissioning it is Dell. If so what their successful Syrian American among the public and on the situation of the Iranian communication and Mrs. del Shor And antafadh of Palestine has been destroyed, in this case, the connection was cut with their frontline warships and finally the siege of revolutionaries and create another for the Sabra and Shitilehas ,they will be much more comfortable.

3. the diversion of public opinion of the world and especially the area of the region and the revolutions of anti-capitalism movement, and propel the thoughts to the subject of Syria.

4. with the U.S. Government relies on Western influence in the Middle East a few times on the one hand, and the influence of Iran and Russia becomes less. Arooda can be your gas through the pipeline diameter is that of Syrian territory is under the pressure of the supply, and Russia in the political equations for the sale of crude oil.

- 5-a anghlabe area to deflect so that the popular anti-American and Israeli moves to his ultimate point is that Israel remove the same reach (Jafari, Ali Akbar, 2010: 33).

3-4.the positions and interests of Israel about Syria

The events of September 11, substantial changes by the internal society of America and other countries of the world that countries in the Gulf region in the first line of these developments. Relay the flame war in Afghanistan, in the wake of America's antiterrorist policies on the Elimination of the Taliban regime and bin Laden's Al-Qaida organization and annihilation. It is natural that Afghanistan's neighboring countries, including Iran; the causative of this impact and unprecedented developments and not interest, especially the Arab countries that have some sort of fresh threats al-Arab Afghans in Afghanistan and America about Iraq, Palestine or existing threats against them in the front line of America-can potentially be a HotSpot region recent developments (Motaghi, Ibrahim, Zahir PostinChi 2012: 117).

Iraq crisis

In the course of the cold war, Iraq with the thought of one of the few socialisti-bathi, allies of the Soviet Union in the sensitive area of the Middle East. During the 1980s, relations between Baghdad and Washington recovered-very good location. At the same time, Iraq's invasion of Kuwait and the start of the war with the United States to the country in 1991, encyclopedias it in full with hostility and the United States, and finally, after the attacks of September 11 the United States decided to overthrow Saddam's Government. The United States under the pretext of the war against terrorism and citing some letters cut in a decade, after the Security Council sanctions a military strike against the regime of Saddam's extensive procurement see and finally, in 2003 the conquest of this country to overthrow Saddam's Government and his own. From that date to the present time, the United States Government and the war in Iraq and against the criminal forces. At the end of the year 2008, the United States signed the agreement with the Government of Iraq with the security-political basis for the continuation of the presence of its forces, in the framework of a bilateral agreement to the Security Council of resolution conversion. (Shades of God, m., 2008: 93)

Military attack on Iraq, and the United States to work for the new administration coming in this country are the two countries relations consequences for Iraq and the United States of America and the regional situation. The United States not only to one of their regional allies, but regional balance on the new conditions and stirred in this area. At present (June ۲۰۱۱) about ٤٠ thousand military resources are in Iraq, the United

States and the United States military has paigahehay in the country and has in general are in Iraq, earned clout. At the same time, the American military presence in Iraq and security relationships-Washington is not without challenges. Several challenges within the two countries and regional and international level to this there are relations (kalahan, pateric, 2008: 101).

•Military-security goals of the Republicans from the invasion of Iraq

The American war in Iraq to the classical forms, over three weeks. With the fall of Baghdad and Saddam's regime, Saddam and his sons escaped, went from, but contrary to initial impressions, and believe us, this victory was apparent. They entered the war in Iraq, the remaining forces of the Baath party and vehicle spare with the age group that was gradually on Ned and its domain was added. The age of the volunteer forces that are often associated with Al-Qaeda, had entered Iraq from other countries. Their attacks against the Shiites of Iraq into ethnic war in the country and ran it skirts of more complex. This war was increased year after year and the trend of its casualties until the preset up continued in ٢٠٠٧(Bazrafkan, Majid and Javdani Mogadam, Mehdi, 2012: 43).

•Security contract in Iraq and the United States: military security official under the two-country

Iraqi and American security agreement that the SOFA will be briefly read, the status of American forces in Iraq and Israel will programme the back they specify the country. This contract has been prepared, and in article 30 November 16, 2008 to approve Iraq on November ٢٧, kabineh, approved by the country's Parliament. Iraq's Presidency Council that same year on December ٤, is dead. This contract after the confirmation of the Government of Iraq officials on 14 December by the President of the United States George w. Bush signing time. Put on the security agreement was Iraq and the United States in mid-2009 was elected to be public, but this is not practical so far, and the date of a visit to the public other than by a historical date to Ireland and appears to be in the short term, such rfrandomy be held (kalahan, pateric, 2008: 110).

• The economic goals of the Republicans

A study on various parameters of security, improved security represents the eye epidemiological situation in Iraq from 2007 to 2010. All the people of this country have been less violence and more economic problems following them. Despite this, the Bush Administration's report

as the last conclusion, security in Iraq is fragile positions, the possibility of intensifying violence in Iraq continues to be very high and the likely challenges (Dehghani firuz Abadi, 2012).

5.The Bush Administration's policy towards the Islamic world

George w. Bush's policy in the Middle East and the Islamic world for "product" should be mainly crosses and in the first two years of the time of occurrence of the phenomenon of new century. The Zionist pressure groups the first computerized with the forces of the Christian right and the conservatives in the State that George w. Bush's new found opportunities with access to executive officials, its truly a cover operation and the second rig that somehow it can be the consequence of the first, 11/9 phenomenon.

5-1. The influence of Christian Zionism

Israel as the most strategic ally of America in the Middle East due to the proximity with Muslims as well as the main security challenges in the region, all their efforts to coordinate u.s. foreign policy towards the Islamic world and the Middle East with their own interests to spend. As a result, what as u.s. foreign policy towards the Muslim world, formulated to contain the bulk of Israel's considerations.

5-2.The \ \ September incident

Culmination of the confluence of two components or two phenomena mentioned in relation to the Middle East to provide a plan and a map of the way the United States, called the "great Middle East" plan. Their interests in the Middle East that America is in danger and the occurrence of Sep 11th, resulting from extremism in the Middle East knew the plan to provide training and support to democracy-building and reform program-from top to bottom in the Middle East countries, the terms in line with their interests. But with a very negative reactions in different layers in the majority of developing countries on the one hand, and the results of votes in both the House and the Palestinian Authority to free elections the Government of Iraq on the other hand realized that due to the negative mentality among Muslims and the intentions of the reform plan is going to ask and the fact that in each election in the region, these are America's victorious opposition forces, the greater Middle East plan virtually fails, And only applies to the promotion of education in the Middle East, briefly. The Bush Administration's record on three other points of the Islamic world was also similarly: Afghanistan, Iraq and Iran-linked policies.

5-3.The occupation of Iraq and Afghanistan.

In Afghanistan, although the United States in the 1980s "forces and Muslims helped the Soviet Union to be dismissed, but this aspect was a tool and that Farid Zakaria said in its explanation of this useful guidebook for new foreign policy conservatives. In addition, the money along with those very fast because Douglas advised to Israel in the context of the fight against the Palestinians (Esmaili, Ali and Niko, and GolMohammadi, Mehci , 2012: 129).

6. the Obama administration's policy towards the Islamic world

During the campaign, most of the Democratic candidates to the speech and literature as a winner in the case leaves the Republicans to pay attention. Although the electoral competitions in America is not very prominent foreign policy role, but because u.s. foreign policy and the conduct of two wars, a lot of influences on the domestic situation in America was laid, so the candidates, even Republicans, tried to tell his audience, "we're different".

It was clear that the Bush team used words such as "old Europe", "fascist", "Islam and the items of the Crusaders" devastating impact on the US image in the world, forklift and world public opinion, a lot of problems with this literature, Washington University. As a result of the democratic team of Obama and his administration opted out of this speech in the capacity of u.s. foreign policy more interest. In an interview with Al-Arabiya, Obama stressed on this issue and said: the United States is committed to being good with the world of Islam, and is though to be the language that we must respect the language. . Go to the language that is most important. . We were unable to intervene due to a belief that the name of the whole idea, taken with a wood wellness. » (Mgdaf, Hari, 2008: 141).

6-1.Obama's discourse

In the implementation of a policy of "change," aspects of Obama's speech in the Islamic country, the two travel to the capitals (Cairo and Ankara) about America's policies towards the Muslim world lecture. The first Muslim country Obama as President of the United States of America chose to visit there, Turkey. On this journey, and on April 7, 2009, Obama's speech in Turkey and that their opinions will come. Focusing his speech on the Turkish Parliament statement of common threats and terrorism against America and the economic crisis in Turkey and the necessity of cooperation in the face of these threats, and in other words

unilateral rejection of fundamentalist America. Obama also called for a democratic State that Turkish democracy without imposing his own methods to others. In Turkey, thought Obama was that the same countries to Turkey to further encourage democratic trends and Turkey as a model for them. He is also in his remarks elsewhere, with the closure of the Guantanamo Bay prison, in reference to him and the prohibition of torture, the recipe is an example of the changes made in your Presidency. Refers to the dark past of the Central Government dealing with indigenous and black people in the United States as well as from the other admitted any President of America. He also spoke to the issue of Israel and Palestine, and urged the two sides to reach the necessary towards peace. Referring to the Iranian nuclear issue and civilization and that peace in the Middle East without a waiver from the Islamic Republic of Iran's nuclear ambitions "to miss the important parts of the speech does not come from us. On the other hand calls for political and economic cooperation obaman with America. In words with a wider audience, Obama's speech on ties with the Muslim world is not just based on opposing terrorism, but also the wider obligations based on respect and common interests on both sides know (Kegli, 2003: 48).

6-2.Obama in action

Changes in the discourse of Obama, a division of u.s. policy is to change in foreign relations is necessary but not enough. The second part of that change in the sphere of action is complementary change in the discourse, and is far more important results of the work in the field of diplomacy. By examining possible changes in the practice of the US Government during the Presidency of Obama would be to quantify the quality of the changes sought. These changes in Afghanistan and Iraq, Iran, the Middle East and Palestine issue we review developments.

6-3.Obama's policies and the future security of Iraq and American military relations-

Iraqi and American military relations – security, apart from the Iraqi developments, influenced by attitude, approach, and that the final decision-making power and policy amrikast in the White House. A look at the policy of the Obama administration's strategy of low hands that until the end of ۲۰۱۲ in the infinitive, matters can be better relations with Iraq as the United States (Bosi, 2012: 31).

Obama campaigned in the Election Commission on the rear of the sedimentation of the American emphasis. He is the beginning of the American invasion of Iraq was opposed to it, and in 2002 declared that the invasion of Iraq, the costs, and involvement caused the consequences for the United States in the prediction of proof.

The American attack on Obama's Iraq policy in the country's struggle with the distraction of terrorism may bring American forces with knows that outside of Iraq and strengthening the fight against terrorism in Afghanistan and Pakistan to the end. Obama believes the main battle against terrorism in Afghanistan and the United States and its allies should be to focus on this area and the emphasis (Esmaili, 1391: 40).

•The policy of the Obama administration's performance in Iraq

The Obama administration's approach to the world, and specifically to Iraq, an approach is more understanding and less military and more with the emphasis on multilateral human rights and democracy. In the case of the spread of democracy and the protection of human rights in the world, between the Bush administration and the Obama administration there is no great difference, except that the manzeri they look at this issue. Both the Republican Party and in the United States, the dmokrat of democracy and human rights are values the United States and component in foreign policy terms, but they make it in their attitude to this issue is the differences that make a difference in behavior. Of demand from the perspective of human rights and democracy and actually may view and expand them for strengthening national security of the United States know the necessary democratic peace (Asghari, 2014: 89).

7. developments in the Middle East, Syria

Of late 2010, North Africa witnessed the people's movement is a domain that is elected America's interests are affected. These events began from Tunisia, Egypt, Yemen, and Libya, Bahrain and Syria swept over the course of six months, the Government of Tunisia and Egypt's two lapses, in both countries of Libya and Yemen have caused severe internal clashes and also by virtue of foreign interference in the two countries, Libya and Bahrain (Payam Sadeg, 2007: 15).

A variety of countries that have witnessed these events and developments were next, was so extensive that it's also America's allies like Tunisia, Egypt, Bahrain and Yemen attended and countries such as Syria and Libya, which together with the US side. Since all these countries in the Islamic world rather than criteria, how to deal with the

events in these countries is a good benchmark for understanding the quality of «change» the Obama administration in America is alleged, the two way of sensing can be achieved: first, do America in all events and developments have taken place in these countries the same criteria of conduct in advance? and the second, the U.S. military clashes are increasing in these countries is involved or not?

In response to the first question, different criteria can be used to view America. America's double standards in their leaders stand for the region has undergone a transformation, in advance. In the case of adopting different criteria for concurrent and non-cooperative countries can be fitted to the very different positions taken in America against the US in Yemen, Libya, Bahrain and Syria. These differences can stand as a measure of the performance of America based on their own interests, and not the kind that Obama claims the benefits and mutual respect between the US and Muslim countries. As this position is based on the interests of the leaders in America-so with the values do not match.

In both types of criteria, the difference between the positions of the concurrent and nahamso countries and the differences between positions nahamso countries, we can note the material interests of the country and thus how to approach and interact with the countries of the Islamic world, we see that this is contrary to the promise given to him in the Islamic world based on mutual respect and interests (mehregan, 2008: 41).

In response to the second question, the role of NATO as the Organization u.s. attack on Libya is obvious. The Obama administration in the form of other Islamic States in the invasion of the partnership also has an aggressive protest that sparked America's Congress; also because this invasion of the war due to the infringement they find America and the authorization of Congress after 90 days of the invasion, was also illegal.

Conclusion

In the wake of the investigation of the origins of the overall process of theoretical and policy of the United States towards the Islamic world, especially the Governments of Bush and Obama, the article has a clear policy that attempts to change Obama's claims about how much in practice the realization. During the Presidency of George Walker Bush, Christian right groups, the neoconservatives and the Zionist lobby tried to use texts that were against Islam, each of which is in line with the values and interests of their own, they introduced Islam as the enemy and as a

result their performance in the US Government filled with fuck for Muslims like the Palestinian issue and the invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq as an enemy.

On the other hand dual use value of the Bush administration, in the interests of time-performance of groups like the "new conservatives based on the interests of America's Alliance with Israel" and increase the influence and hegemony in the world and particularly the Middle East, based on the interests of Israel, the Zionist lobby, and Christian right groups based on their religious values, based on the faith of Islam was defined.

Incurring fees resulting from the unilateral policies of the Bush and preventive attacks, the need to attract the attention of new literature for voters requiring. Obama also with this understanding, with the slogan "change" came to the field and, after victory, one of your goals «change» the relationship with the Islamic world.

Barack Obama in the realization of "change" in the Islamic world toward America's approach to the effort that the hand is the basis of the desired policies he double his famous speech in Cairo and Istanbul reflection. However, Obama's actions for change in America, at least in the past approaches where corresponds to the Islamic world, the following reasons could not result in success, in many cases the continuation of past policies, America will be considered.

A strong pro-Israel and the Zionist lobby in America has begun toward the Obama administration's tendency to change past policies on their own from the feeling of risk. In the first wave of the aforementioned bands advertising according to a family history of Obama's father, who has been a Muslim Middle name Barack Hussein Obama also announced that he is a Muslim. This applies to other pressures and that was his was caused to Obama to prove his suspicion towards Jews, Hassan and Israel to pick Zionist people that some White House employees, including the head of the American-Israeli citizenship, action. Such people were successful in a short period of Obama administration policy on a path that was completely warped and the former in the direction and support of Israel. In general the issue of Palestine and all-round support of Israel is one of the most important criteria is that the public opinion of the Islamic world on the basis of which the final judgment about their friendship or enmity and the extent of America's policy with the Muslims. In fact, the changes in tone, literature or even tactical procedures, if a change in the policy of America towards Israel and Palestine is not the subject of a cell, in a constructive and positive changes in the world of Islam will not be

considered. Recent developments in terms of the three-year Palestinian-Israeli relations demonstrate that not only of America's commitment to the support of Israel has been eased, but it's more serious than even the past commitments will also follow. Remarks by Israel's Prime Minister in a successful venture Congress of America in May this year with the encouragement of American senators and representatives was repeated, this discusses the issue that the existing ties between America and Israel, far beyond the relationship between the definitions of the State.

In the case of Iran, as well as Obama, though several interviews at the beginning and in the framework of a new tune for engagement with Iran will adopt, but soon, something that is influenced by lobbyists that they are noted as well as anti-Islamic Republic of Iran lobbies in America, revised America first and the last discourse of the issue of Iran's nuclear program and then two other topics on the agenda of the past governments has long been America's policy of dealing with Iran, put the emphasis .

The Obama administration faced with files remaining from the era of George Bush means that the consequences of a military attack on Afghanistan and Iraq as well as conflicting behaviors of your update. In America all their power to impose unwanted obligations to the State this country is in the interest of Afghanistan also stressed on the necessity, despite the early departure of the country, on the one hand and increased their military forces, on the other hand the secret talks with the Taliban has started. In addition, in the wake of the failure of America's military strategy in Afghanistan, the Obama administration gave the pretext of the war with the Taliban, the Islamic country to the third, namely the Pakistan military has carried out several attacks as well. With the start of the Islamic awakening or a meaningless Arab spring, America was pleasantly surprised like other leaders that America's strategic position in the heavy blow to the region. Subsequently the NATO military intervention "in Libya, the other entered the war with America is an Islamic country; though the Obama administration to avoid a wave of reporting in America, from the aforementioned military operations alongside the leadership. Two of America's policy in support of simultaneous movements, such as Egypt, Tunisia, Libya and Syria on one hand, and support for non-democratic regimes such as Saudi Arabia and Bahrain on the other hand, as well as the history of this country is not far in support of the dictator, Hosni Mubarak of Egypt and raised other corrupt regimes and dictators in the region, which has caused public opinion in the Islamic world by hesitation and America's policies towards the region's look.

A study on the trend of developments in Iraq, the Obama administration's attitudes and approaches and developments in the region shows that in the short term, the point of gravity and military developments in the area of the two countries towards Iraq, Afghanistan and Pakistan. American officials in Iraq to reduce mental this opportunity that their forces from outside the country and its military relations with Iraq about the changes.

According to Iraqi and American security agreement, American forces withdrawal from Iraq until the end of the year 2011 will be completed. Gradually exit the case. During this period, the operational costs of the mission, American forces and on the educational mission, and support of diplmatha and the American nationals in Iraq more focus.

In the Obama administration will try a direct clash with the United States military and criminal forces and to the Iraqi people in General, and human costs less and the U.S. military. In the new United States strategy in Iraq, the use of soft power, and the Iraqi forces and the lobby has an important position, regional. The Obama administration to increase security and stability in Iraq fit in coshd within the scope of responsibilities and performance of the American military forces, the operational level to the level of support in the area of problem solutions, from the national level to regional level and on the approach of a eastward orientation to orientation, and the multilateral dimension of relations between Baghdad and Washington, after the military – to the political-economic dimension more tendency.

The prospect of Iraqi security and us military relations-, influenced by the trend of developments inside Iraq, the new Government's attitude and vision of new developments in the United States and regional disputes, especially in the relations and involvement in Afghanistan and Pakistan and u.s. relations with the Islamic Republic of Iran is admissible.

In Iraq, the end of the year and the trend of developments in the first year of the Obama administration's new strategy in Iraq, the United States showed a fallen effective, controlled and partly mental violence are to froksh. The continuation of this process of more American forces for the withdraw areas of Iraq are provided. Based on the agreements and the policy of the Government of the United States military forces, leaving the regulations set us up to August 2010 was, and until the end of 2011, to the end. At the same time, U.S. military forces withdrawal from Iraq means the end of the American presence in Iraq.

Leaving them to start a new stage in military relations ' and two country is political, war and violence, and the United States have enough

control of the tools necessary to influence in Iraq. In the new period, Iraq will become a unified country to the United States. This unity is influenced by the nirohaiy in Iraq is working and on the positions on Iran and American religious relations, shape. The national forces to any extent that gray, age, religion or secular Iraqi Government more power and influence in Baghdad with the United States, relations have a quieter and will be more anti-Iranian. In contrast, the magnitude of any Shiite forces and the Supreme chieftain in Iraq have more power and influence, their relations with Tehran are better and their policy will be more independent.

In the case of Iran and the United States, the position of the bdtershdn relations in the region due to the influence of the United States in the country and relations with the Islamic Republic of Iran near Iraqi politicians, will be very sensitive. In the security contract, araghiha and pressure on Iran not to use the territory of the third country for an attack on Iraq by the United States, holding such positions. In the case of Iran and the United States, spectral relations bdtershdn of the use of the land of Iraq against Iran to use the power of Iraq to rein in the influence of design area.

With regard to the attitude of the Obama administration and its attempts to reduce the hatred of the United States in the world and the use of soft power and the relative calm in Iraq, continuing the lobby could be to reduce the presence of u.s. military forces in Iraq and the lack of permanent military base established in the country. At the same time, the intensification of mental stress in Iraq and increased Iranian relations or in the existing process, the United States and then the United States and Indonesia in the flow that is looking to create a map of the U.S. in Iraq and greater use of military power in the region, is in a better position. Therefore, the Obama administration will try with the continuation of the protection and support of the Iraqi Government forces and be the country in the field of providing and maintaining the stability of the bed and increased the quiet American forces suitable for withdrawal from Iraq. This policy shall be implemented that a time on the position of the asibi and influence the country in Iraq and do not enter the area.

References

- 1- Ambrose (1984) the process of hegemony: history of u.s. foreign policy (1976-83), trans. a. tabandeh, Tehran, Iran: chapakhsh publications
- 2- Arkman, Serhat (2008) the United States and the Middle East; the strategies and challenges, translated by: Jalil hasanzadeh, Jacob: Gholam Reza Khosravi, Tehran: Institute for strategic studies
- 3- Asgar Eftekhari (translation) (2010) Elmira, a.: a discussion of the basic theory and practical considerations of Israeli security. Regional studies, the Research Institute of the State of Israel, c-5, Tehran, Iran
- 4- Hossein Asghari (1993) the arms of the Israeli diplomacy, Abrar, Tehran
- 5- Esmaili, Ali Hamid, and Nico and golmohammadi, Mehdi (2012), Islamic consciousness: basics, fields, and geopolitical campaign results, Tehran, Iran: publications chapter seal
- 6- Emami, Mohammad Ali (1997) politics and Government in Syria, Tehran: Institute for printing and publishing Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- 7- Jackson, Robert Vegerg sornson (1985) incomes on international relations, translation m. zakeriyan, the publishing rate
- 8- Ghavam, AbdolAli, (1984) international relations theories and approach, the publishing Semat
- 9- Akbari, Manzor and Kivan Mehregan (2007) the situation of Central Asia in the political structure in the third millennium, Journal of geography, vol. 3, no. 3, Tehran
- 10- Amir Sajedi ,Economical-political information, year XXI, number 9 and 10
- 11- BiTaraf, Manzor and Kivan Mehregan (2008) "Obama and the future of u.s.-Iran relations," in an interview with Mahmood sariolghalam, trust (1-2 January)
- 12- Bossi year, Tiri, taken from the newspaper librasion (2012), on 25 may
- 13- Abdullah, AmirAli and GaliPor, Arezo (2003), strategic assessment of the contemporary United States, Abrar, Tehran, Iran
- 14- Sajedi, Amir, (2013), the Syrian crisis article and the interference of alien powers, Assistant Professor of political science, Islamic Azad University of Tehran-Central
- 15- Qanbrelo, Abdolah (2012) democratic peace in international relations (with reference to the case of attacking Iraq to Iramn
- 16- Movahedian, Ehsan (TRANS.), Mark Kelidi R (2013), Bulletin No. 138, strategy Studies Association, Tehran, Iran